



Original Article

## Evaluating the Need for Integrated Pediatric Palliative Care Services in a Pediatric Oncology Setting: A Retrospective Audit

Vasudeva Bhat K<sup>1</sup>, Krithika S Rao<sup>2</sup>, Kalasekhar Vijayasekharan<sup>1</sup>, Archana Mevalegire Venkatagiri<sup>1</sup>, S Ashwini<sup>1</sup>, Pankaj Singhai<sup>2</sup>, Seema Rajesh Rao<sup>2</sup>, Mayank Gupta<sup>2</sup>, Naveen Salins<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, <sup>2</sup>Department of Palliative Medicine and Supportive Care, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, Karnataka, India



**\*Corresponding author:**

Dr. Krithika S Rao,  
Department of Palliative  
Medicine and Supportive Care,  
Kasturba Medical College,  
Manipal Academy of Higher  
Education, Manipal - 576 104,  
Karnataka, India.

[krithika.rao@manipal.edu](mailto:krithika.rao@manipal.edu)

Received : 27 December 2020

Accepted : 30 January 2021

Published : 12 August 2021

**DOI**

10.25259/IJPC\_460\_20

### ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** Early integrated palliative care has shown to improve the quality of life in patients with cancer. During the past decade, pediatric palliative care has become an established area of medical expertise, however due to scant information available regarding the triggers for referral and referral practice very few children receive a formal palliative care consult.

**Materials and Methods:** A retrospective audit of medical case records of pediatric oncology patients over a period of 1 year from September 30, 2019, to September 30, 2020, was conducted. Demographic details, diagnosis, staging, clinical parameters, reason for referral, and palliative care plan were captured in a predesigned pro forma.

**Results:** Among 126 children with cancer, 27 (21.4%) patients were referred to palliative care. Majority 21 (77%) referrals were inpatient consults. Symptom management 17 (44.7%) was the most common trigger for referral followed by referrals for psychosocial support 12 (14.4%). Children with solid tumors 16 (59%) were more often referred than hematological malignancies. Among those needing end of life care, 8 (88.8%) out of 9 families preferred home than hospital.

**Conclusion:** Low incidence of palliative care referral and presence of symptoms as a trigger for palliative care referral suggests gaps in the integrated approach. The study findings prompt a review of palliative care referral criteria and referral practice in a pediatric oncology setting.

**Keywords:** Childhood cancer, Early integration, Pediatric oncology, Pediatric palliative care

### INTRODUCTION

The WHO defines palliative care in children as the active total care of the child's body, mind and spirit, and also involves giving support to the family. It begins when illness is diagnosed and continues regardless of whether or not a child receives treatment directed at the disease.<sup>[1]</sup>

Cancer is a leading cause of death for children and adolescents worldwide and approximately 300,000 children aged 0–19-year-old are diagnosed with cancer each year.<sup>[2]</sup> The incidence of childhood cancers in India is rising with age-adjusted cancer incidence rates ranging from 18.6/million to 159.6/million for boys and 11.3–112.4 for girls.<sup>[3]</sup>

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

©2021 Published by Scientific Scholar on behalf of Indian Journal of Palliative Care

More than 80% of all childhood cancer cases are occurring in low- and middle-income countries, but the cure rates have not matched the figures in the high-income countries, and about 50% of them are dying due to disease or treatment toxicity.<sup>[4]</sup> In India, cancer is the 9<sup>th</sup> leading cause of death in the age range of 5–14 years,<sup>[5]</sup> and hence, it is anticipated that the requirement of palliative care in children with cancer would increase as well.

Specialist pediatric palliative care service is an integral part of many centers treating children with cancer in the high-income countries.<sup>[6]</sup> However, in India, it is estimated that 1.6 million children with life-limiting and life-threatening illness are in need of specialized pediatric palliative care, but unfortunately, there are very few centers that has the capacity to meet these needs.<sup>[7]</sup>

At present, there is only one retrospective audit from India that has explored palliative care referral in a pediatric oncology setting (Ghoshal *et al.* 2016). This study was a retrospective audit of medical case records of all children with cancer referred to palliative care. The purpose of this audit was to identify the referral patterns and explore the triggers for palliative care referral and gaps in oncology palliative care integration in a pediatric oncology setting.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study patients

Children registered at the division of pediatric hematology and oncology from September 30, 2019, to September 30, 2020, were included in the study. The present study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC Ref: 397/2020).

### Data collection and outcome measures

#### Audit

Data were extracted from the medical case records using a standardized pro forma jointly developed by the pediatric oncology and palliative care team. The data extraction pro forma had details about the patient demographics, clinical information about cancer, and details about palliative care referral. The palliative care referral details included timing and trigger for palliative care referral and palliative care consultation. The audit period was between September 30, 2019 and September 30, 2020.

### Statistical analyses

We conducted the statistical analysis using the SPSS software version 20.0 (IBM Corp., SPSS Statistics for Windows and version 20.0. Armonk, NY, USA). Analyses were done with the descriptive summaries of demographic, clinical variables, and patient circumstances at the time of referral and on end-of-life care data.

## RESULTS

### Patient characteristics

One hundred and thirty-five children with cancer were registered with the pediatric oncology services during the audit period. Nine patients had missing clinical data and were excluded from the audit. Twenty-seven (21.4%) patients were referred to palliative care services, and details of their referral are provided in Table 1.

Among the 27 patients referred, 16 (59.2%) were boys and 15 (55.5%) were 10 years and older. Inpatient consultations constituted majority of the palliative care referral 21 (77.7%).

### Caregiver characteristics

Mother was the primary caregiver in 20 (74%) patients followed by father in 5 (18.5%). Only 8 (29.7%) of the primary caregivers had completed their higher secondary schooling (10<sup>th</sup> standard and above), while 21 (77.7%) had severe socioeconomic constraints and were falling into the lower socioeconomic strata as classified by the Modified Kuppuswamy classification.<sup>[8]</sup>

### Clinical data

As depicted in Table 2, of the 27 patients referred to palliative care services, 16 (59%) patients had a solid tumor of which 14 (87.5%) children had an advanced stage of disease (Stage III or IV). Four (14.8%) patients had a relapsed disease without a salvage therapy or a disease-modifying treatment. Eastern Co-operative Oncology Group score was available in 26 patients with majority patients, 10 (37%) having score of one. About 13 (48.1%) patients received more than one

**Table 1:** Demographic details.

Items	Categories	n=27, n (%)
Age (years)	<2	3 (11.1)
	≥2-5	4 (14.9)
	≥5-10	5 (18.5)
	≥10	15 (55.5)
Gender	Male	16 (59.3)
	Female	11 (40.7)
Consult location	Inpatient	21 (77.8)
	Outpatient	6 (22.2)
Primary caregiver	Mother	20 (74.0)
	Father	5 (18.6)
	Grandparents	2 (7.4)
Caregiver education	Illiterate	10 (37.0)
	Up to 5 <sup>th</sup> standard	9 (33.3)
	Up to 10 <sup>th</sup> standard	3 (11.1)
	Graduate	5 (18.5)

**Table 2:** Clinical data of patients.

Items	Categories	n=27, n (%)
Type of malignancy	Solid tumor	16 (59.3)
	Hematolymphoid	11 (40.7)
Subtype of malignancy	ALL	8 (29.6)
	Osteosarcoma	04 (14.8)
	Brain tumor	4 (14.8)
	AML	3 (11.2)
	GCT	2 (7.4)
	Ewing's sarcoma	2 (7.4)
	Others	4 (14.8)
Stage	III	6 (18.6)
	IV	10 (37.0)
	Not applicable	11 (44.4)
ECOG	0	5 (18.5)
	1	10 (37.0)
	2	4 (14.8)
	3	7 (25.9)
	Not available	1 (3.8)
Treatment received	IV CT	7 (25.9)
	Oral CT	6 (22.2)
	RT alone	1 (3.8)
	CT and RT	6 (22.2)
	Surgery and CT	4 (14.8)
	Surgery, CT, and RT	3 (11.1)
Number of follow-up OPD visits	0	11 (40.7)
	≤1	5 (18.6)
	>1	11 (40.7)

ALL=Acute lymphoblastic leukemia, AML=Acute myeloid leukemia, GCT=Germ cell tumor, IV=Intravenous, CT=Chemotherapy, RT=Radiotherapy, OPD=Outpatient department, ECOG=Eastern co-operative oncology group

modality of therapy. Fifteen (55.5%) patients were on curative intent therapy, whereas 12 (44.4%) were on palliative intent.

### Referral practices

Eleven (28.9%) patients had more than one trigger for referral. The total number of referrals was 38 in these 27 patients. Most common trigger for referral was poorly controlled pain requiring opioid analgesics 17 (44.7%) followed by 12 (31.5%) counseling and psychological support and end of life care 9 (23.6%).

On further review of medical records, we felt that 20 patients who had triggers for palliative care referral were not referred. Of them, 11 patients and their caregivers needed psychological support, 7 had incomplete relief of their symptoms, and 2 children who died at home never had a palliative care consult. We were unable to explore the symptom management and supportive care role of palliative care in patients receiving active cancer directed therapies due to the lack of systematic documentation of symptoms and psychological issues.

### End-of-life care practices

Out of the nine patients referred for end of life care, parents of eight patients preferred home as the place of care for the last days of their child's end of life while 1 family preferred to be in the hospital. The preference for home was due to the additional financial burden of hospital stay in 7 out of these 8 patients. General practitioners or family physicians were given a plan of management for these patients.

### DISCUSSION

Majority of the referrals to palliative care services worldwide occur very late in the course of disease in children with cancer.<sup>[9,10]</sup> Such late referrals hamper the delivery of adequate symptom control and guidance required for end of life care.<sup>[11]</sup> In a retrospective audit done with 1135 case records of children referred to palliative care, it was found that 78.8% of patients who were referred had completed all disease-modifying treatment, and the other 21.2% were on oral metronomic chemotherapy.<sup>[12]</sup> In our study, 14 (87.5%) children had an advanced stage of disease (Stage III or IV), and only 4 (14.8%) patients had a relapsed disease without a salvage therapy or a disease-modifying treatment. The difference in the pattern observed could be due to the low number of patients in our study.

In the retrospective study done by Ghoshal *et al.*, no children receiving intravenous chemotherapy either with a curative or palliative care intent were referred to palliative care.<sup>[12]</sup> Although some patients receiving chemotherapy with a curative intent were referred to palliative care, it is a small percentage, and we may not be yet practicing integrated model of palliative care. A prospective, longitudinal, cohort study in children with cancer and noncancer needing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation showed symptom control benefit with early specialist palliative care intervention.<sup>[13]</sup> However, it was a feasibility study involving small patient numbers, and no specific component of palliative care was assessed. A survey of oncologists, oncology nurses, and patients show that integration of early specialist palliative care in a tertiary cancer center in India improves symptom control, end-of-life care, health-related communication, and continuity of care.<sup>[14,15]</sup> However, the evidence in pediatric oncology is not robust.

In a study conducted in a large tertiary care hospital, counseling and communication needs were the primary reason for referral to a specialist pediatric palliative care services.<sup>[12]</sup> The authors attributed the same to limited availability of consultation time per patient in a large volume center. Majority of the patients in our study were referred for symptom control, which was mainly for intractable pain requiring opioid analgesia.

Caregiver's preference for opting end of life care of child could be varied ranging from financial issues to social conditions

prevailing at their home.<sup>[16,17]</sup> Few studies have shown the lack of availability of hospice facility is an important barrier for the delivery of palliative care.<sup>[18,19]</sup> In our study, except for 1 caregiver, almost all preferred home as their preference during the last days of child's life one of the reasons could be due to nonavailability of hospice attached to the hospital.

This is the second study conducted in a cancer center from India, which has audited the referral practices of pediatric oncology. Our retrospective study had a small number of patients in comparison to previously conducted study in India. It could be a limitation as it may not truly represent the referral practices. Moreover, only a very small number of patients receiving curative intent chemotherapy were referred to palliative care. It might be a limitation as inferences about integration cannot be drawn based on a small number of patients referred. These limitations underpin a need for a prospective study to explore this phenomenon better.

## CONCLUSION

Children with cancer in a pediatric oncology setting are infrequently referred to palliative care. The presence of symptoms was a major trigger for referral. More than half of patients referred to palliative care were receiving cancer-directed therapy. However, it was primarily for symptom management. Although a study showed evidence of early integration, due to small numbers, it was not possible to conclude the presence of an integrated model of palliative care. Lack of a hospice attached to the palliative care service prompted the families to choose home as the preferred place of care.

## Acknowledgments

We are grateful to all the patients and their primary caregivers enrolled onto this study, who have helped us to understand referral practices in pediatric oncology practice which will improve the quality of life for children diagnosed with malignancies. A special thanks to Dr Vinay Jain and team from Jiv Daya foundation, Dallas, USA for providing a medical social worker/data manager for the division.

## Declaration of patient consent

Patient's consent not required as there are no patients in this study.

## Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

## REFERENCES

1. WHO definition of Palliative Care. Available from: <https://www.who.int/westernpacific/health-topics/palliative-care>. [Last accessed on 2020 Dec 01].
2. Steliarova-Foucher E, Colombet M, Ries LAG, Moreno F, Dolya A, Bray F, *et al.* International incidence of childhood cancer, 2001-10: A population-based registry study. *Lancet Oncol* 2017;18:719-31.
3. Satyanarayana L, Asthana S, Labani S P. Childhood cancer incidence in India: A review of population-based cancer registries. *Indian Pediatr* 2014;51:218-20.
4. Magrath I, Steliarova-Foucher E, Epelman S, Ribeiro RC, Harif M, Li CK, *et al.* Paediatric cancer in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet Oncol* 2013;14:e104-16.
5. Fadel SA, Boschi-Pinto C, Yu S, Reynales-Shigematsu LM, Menon GR, Newcombe L, *et al.* Trends in cause-specific mortality among children aged 5–14 years from 2005 to 2016 in India, China, Brazil, and Mexico: An analysis of nationally representative mortality studies. *The Lancet* 2019;393:1119-27. Available from: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S014067361930220X>. [Last accessed on 2020 Dec 01].
6. Johnston DL, Nagel K, Friedman DL, Meza JL, Hurwitz CA, Friebert S. Availability and use of palliative care and end-of-life services for pediatric oncology patients. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:4646-50.
7. Connor SR, Downing J, Marston J. Estimating the global need for palliative care for children: A cross-sectional analysis. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2017;53:171-7.
8. Wani RT. Socioeconomic status scales-modified Kuppuswamy and Udai Pareekh's scale updated for 2019. *Fam Med Prim Care* 2019;8:1846-9. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6618222/>. [Last accessed on 2020 Dec 01].
9. Ferrell BR. Late referrals to palliative care. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:2588-9. Available from: <https://ascopubs.org/doi/10.1200/JCO.2005.11.908>. [Last accessed on 2020 Dec 02].
10. Johnston DL, Vadeboncoeur C. Palliative care consultation in pediatric oncology. *Support Care Cancer* 2012;20:799-803.
11. Osta BE, Palmer JL, Paraskevopoulos T, Pei BL, Roberts LE, Poulter VA, *et al.* Interval between first palliative care consult and death in patients diagnosed with advanced cancer at a comprehensive cancer center. *J Palliat Med* 2008;11:51-7.
12. Ghoshal A, Salins N, Damani A, Deodhar J, Muckaden MA. Specialist pediatric palliative care referral practices in pediatric oncology: A large 5-year retrospective audit. *Indian J Palliat Care* 2016;22:266-73. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27559254/>. [Last accessed on 2020 Dec 02].
13. Mack J, Wolfe J. Early integration of pediatric palliative care: For some children, palliative care starts at diagnosis. *Curr Opin Pediatr* 2006;18:10-14. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16470155/>. [Last accessed on 2020 Dec 02].
14. Salins N, Ramanjulu R, Patra L, Deodhar J, Muckaden MA. Integration of early specialist palliative care in cancer care and patient related outcomes: A critical review of evidence. *Indian J Palliat Care* 2016;22:252. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4973484/>. [Last accessed on 2020 Dec 02].

15. Salins N, Patra L, Rani MU, Lohitashva SO, Rao R, Ramanjulu R, *et al.* Integration of early specialist palliative care in cancer care: Survey of oncologists, oncology nurses, and patients. *Indian J Palliat Care* 2016;22:258. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4973485/>. [Last accessed on 2020 Dec 02].
16. Woodman C, Baillie J, Sivell S. The preferences and perspectives of family caregivers towards place of care for their relatives at the end-of-life. Systematic review and thematic synthesis of the qualitative evidence. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* 2016;6:418-29. Available from: <https://spcare.bmj.com/content/6/4/418>. [Last accessed on 2020 Dec 05].
17. Malhotra C, Farooqui MA, Kanesvaran R, Bilger M, Finkelstein E. Comparison of preferences for end-of-life care among patients with advanced cancer and their caregivers: A discrete choice experiment. *Palliat Med* 2015;29:842-50.
18. Morrison R, Augustin R, Souvanna P, Meier D. America's care of serious illness: A state-by-state report card on access to palliative care in our nation's hospitals. *J Palliat Med* 2011;14:1094-6. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21923412/>. [Last accessed on 2020 Dec 02].
19. McDermott E, Selman L, Wright M, Clark D. Hospice and palliative care development in India: A multimethod review of services and experiences. *Pain Symptom Manage* 2008;35:583-93. Available from: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0885392408000572>. [Last accessed on 2020 Dec 02].

**How to cite this article:** Bhat KV, Rao KS, Vijayasekharan K, Venkatagiri AM, Ashwini S, Singhai P, *et al.* Evaluating the need for integrated pediatric palliative care services in a pediatric oncology setting: A retrospective audit. *Indian J Palliat Care* 2021;27(2):286-90.