

Indian Journal of Palliative Care



Article in Press

Original Article An Audit Cycle to Evaluate the Improvement in Documentation of Breathlessness and Non-Pharmacological Interventions in Palliative Care Services

Alisha Karim¹, Priyanshu Thakur², Dharun Prasad Ramani³, Dolorosa Fernandes³, Raviteja Miriyala⁴, Rohit Avinash Vadgaonkar⁴, Vidya Viswanath²

Departments of ¹Clinical Psychology, ²Palliative Medicine, ³Preventive Oncology and ⁴Radiation Oncology, Homi Bhabha Cancer Hospital and Research Centre, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India.

ABSTRACT

Objectives: To study the documentation of breathlessness and the Non-Pharmacological Interventions (NPIs) before and after implementation of the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP). The set standard was 100% for both. The secondary aim is to study the symptom cluster.

Materials and Methods: Breathlessness is a distressing symptom in patients with cancer. Management principles are based on the empirical Breathing-Thinking-Functioning model with NPI as the first line of treatment. Multidisciplinary Team (MDT) interventions are crucial for lifestyle adjustments to preserve functionality, alleviate anxiety, and train in breathing techniques. The MDT was inducted in the Department of Palliative Medicine in 2022. To assess the impact of MDT on documentation of breathlessness and NPIs, case records of adult patients with cancer, in March 2021 and March 2022 were audited. Statistical analysis was done using Epi Info. Along with documenting the severity of breathlessness, an SOP for NPI in mild, moderate, and severe breathlessness was implemented in December 2022 and the department staff were trained and sensitized. The data was collected for adult patients diagnosed with cancer over two periods; a month after the introduction of SOP for 2 consecutive months (February and March 2023) and after 8 months (September 2023). Data over the cycle was studied and analyzed using Epi Info software (version 7.2.6).

Results: In 2021, (n = 391), 68% had documented breathlessness, with no documentation of NPIs. In 2022, (n = 433), breathlessness documentation increased to 80%, and NPIs to 16%. In February and March 2023, 93.4% of cases had documented breathlessness, with NPIs documented in 91.4%. In September 2023, breathlessness documentation reached 96.7%, with NPIs at 93.5%. Common symptom clusters were fatigue and anxiety.

Conclusion: There was a significant improvement in the documentation of breathlessness and NPIs following MDT induction and SOP implementation. Symptom clusters such as fatigue and anxiety were commonly associated with breathlessness, highlighting the need for integrated multidisciplinary approaches in palliative care settings.

Keywords: Breathing, functioning and thinking model, Breathlessness, Edmonton symptom assessment system, Non-pharmacological

INTRODUCTION

Breathlessness, or dyspnoea, is a prevalent and distressing symptom in palliative care, significantly impacting patients' quality of life.^[1] Despite its importance, documentation and management of breathlessness are often inconsistent. In advanced cancer patients, about 30% reported dyspnoea, with 70% experiencing episodic breathlessness due to exertion. This is influenced by multiple factors, including physiological, psychological, social and environmental elements.^[2] In a longitudinal, observational and multicentre study from India, the prevalence of breathlessness in patients with advanced cancer presenting to outpatient clinics was found to be 36.4%, with 28.5% having moderate to severe breathlessness (>4/10).^[3,4] Across centres, the investigators suggested the assessment and proper documentation of breathlessness as an important part of the patientcentred approach for management of breathlessness. Breathlessness is assessed primarily through patient self-

*Corresponding author: Vidya Viswanath, Doctor of Medicine, Assistant Professor at Homi Bhabha Cancer Hospital and Research Centre, Visakhapatnam, India. karim.alisha07@gmail.com

Received: 02 December 2024 Accepted: 22 April 2025 EPub Ahead of Print: 16 June 2025 Published: XXXXXX DOI: 10.25259/IJPC_344_2024

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, transform, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

©2025 Published by Scientific Scholar on behalf of Indian Journal of Palliative Care

report using tools like the numerical rating scale (NRS), as it is a subjective experience.^[5] A 2019 systematic review encompassed the physical, emotional, spiritual, social, control and contextual aspects of 'total breathlessness' [Figure 1].^[6]



Figure 1: Concept of total breathlessness.

According to American Society of Clinical Oncology guidelines, chronic breathlessness requires both pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions to address multidimensional suffering.^[7,8] The breathing, thinking and functioning model [Figure 2] identifies three main cognitive and behavioural reactions to breathlessness that can worsen and perpetuate the symptom through vicious cycles.^[9] Multidisciplinary team (MDT) interventions are crucial for lifestyle adjustments to preserve functionality, alleviate anxiety and train in breathing techniques.^[8,10]



Figure 2: The breathing, thinking and functioning clinical model: A proposal to facilitate evidence-based breathlessness management in chronic respiratory disease.

In December 2021, MDT comprising doctors, nurses, clinical psychologists, medical social workers, physiotherapists and administrative staff was inducted at Homi Bhabha Cancer Hospital and Research Centre (HBCH&RC) in the Department of Palliative Medicine. The standard operating protocol (SOP) for non-pharmacological interventions (NPIs) was developed by the department in 2022. It was presented in the 'Non-Pharmacological Management for Breathlessness' video, created and presented by the Department of Palliative Medicine, HBCH&RC, Visakhapatnam, at the IAPCON Conference 2022 under the theme 'Nurses in Action – Best Practices in Empathy and Empowerment' [Figure 3].

As routine practice, breathlessness was assessed and documented using the NRS in the Edmonton Symptom Assessment System.^[11] This audit was conducted to determine compliance with the documentation of breathlessness and NPIs.

The research protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee (Project 12000038).

Aim of the study

Primary objective

- 1. To study the documentation of breathlessness in palliative care outpatient department (OPD), in-patient department (IPD) and Home Visit before and after implementation of the SOP.
- 2. To study the documentation of the NPI planned/done for breathlessness.

Secondary objective

• Study symptom clusters associated with breathlessness.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The audit cycle involved the analysis of case records in patients with cancer to evaluate the documentation of breathlessness and NPIs.

Set Standard

- 1. All patients with a diagnosis of cancer visiting palliative OPD, in IPD or during a visit to home should have documentation of the presence/absence of breathlessness
- 2. All patients with breathlessness should have NPIs documented.

Inclusion criteria

- Patients with a definitive diagnosis of cancer seen in OPD, IPD or home care in palliative care.
- Patients in the age group ≥ 18 years
- Patients who were capable of comprehending/answering.

Exclusion criteria

• Proxy visits without the patient.

Study duration

18 Months.

This audit was conducted in two parts retrospectively: Part 1 included data from March 2021 and data from March 2022, before and after the establishment of the MDT, respectively.

The result of Part 1 informed Part 2 of the audit, which was conducted after incorporating the interventions. This was over two distinct periods: First, a month subsequent to the intervention implementation in February 2023 and March 2023, followed by a second evaluation after an interval of 8 months in September 2023. Data analysis for both periods was carried out utilising the Epi Info software (version 7.6), enabling systematic examination and comparison of documentation practices and intervention outcomes over time.

RESULTS

Part 1

Results from 2021 (n = 391) showed that 68% of patients had documentation of breathlessness, with 0% documentation of NPIs. In 2022 (n = 433), there was an improvement in the documentation of breathlessness (80%) and NPIs (16%).

Part 2

The first intervention was to create codes based on the severity of breathlessness for ease of documentation, as shown in Table 1.

Following this, interventions to train and to comply with the coding and documentation are shown in Table 2.

The documentation of breathlessness was 98.5% (n = 478), 89.3% (n = 600) and 96.7% (n = 736) in February, March and September 2023. In these 3 months, NPI documentation was 90.5% (n = 369), 92.1% (n = 487) and 93.5% (n = 575) in those who reported breathlessness.

Among those with mild breathlessness, 8.5% of patients had no NPI documentation, while 91.5% had NPIB1. In moderate breathlessness, 8% had no documentation, 85.5% had NPIB2, and 6.5% had documented NPIB3. For severe breathlessness, 2.8% had no documentation, 4.3% had NPIB2, 85.1% had NPIB3 and 7.8% had documented NPIBE. In those at end-oflife cases, all had NPIBE documented.

In 2021, symptom clusters with breathlessness included anxiety, fatigue and loss of well-being (all P < 0.01). In 2022, depression, fatigue, loss of appetite, and pain were the associated symptom clusters (P < 0.01). Similarly, common symptom clusters were fatigue (P < 0.0005) and anxiety (P < 0.00001) [Tables 1 and 2, Figures 3 and 4].

DISCUSSION

The results from the audit of breathlessness and NPI documentation show significant improvements over time. In 2021, documentation of breathlessness was recorded at 68%, with no documentation of NPIs. By 2022, breathlessness documentation improved to 80%, and NPI

Table	1:	Non-pharmacological	intervention	codes	for
breathlessness.					

Level	Label	Interventions		
Mild (1-3)	NPIB1	 ✓ Pacing activity ✓ Soft food ✓ Avoid constipation ✓ Pursed Lip Breathing 		
Moderate (4-6)	NPIB2	 ✓ Continue of B1 ✓ Use of hand held fan ✓ Comfortable clothing ✓ Cross ventilation ✓ Openly discuss the cause of breathlessness with patient and caregiver ✓ Keeping the door unlocked ✓ Keeping the bell next to the bed ✓ Use of supportive aids 		
Severe (7 and above)	NPIB3	 ✓ Continue of B1 & B2 ✓ Positioning: a. Fowlers or semi fowlers position b. Bending forward ✓ Avoid crowd around the patient ✓ Wipe the face with wet cloth 		
EOL (with oxygen/ medication)	NPIBE	 ✓ Eliminate non-essential activity ✓ Use of fan ✓ Positioning ✓ Cross ventilation ✓ Soft food ✓ Personalised according to the patient requirement 		
NPIB: Non Pharmacological Intervention of Breathlessness, EOL: End				

Table 2: Interventions implemented. Measure Description Education and Regular training sessions were held for all awareness sessions department staff Daily checklist Implemented to ensure accurate code documentation in the EMR Staff reminders Reminders about codes and NPI documentation were given to both new and existing staff Code display Codes were prominently displayed on a department board for quick reference NPI: Non-pharmacological intervention, EMR: Electronic medical record

documentation, which was initially absent, increased to 16%. With the introduction of targeted interventions, including implementation of SOP, staff training, a documentation checklist and code reinforcement, the documentation saw further enhancement. Specifically, breathlessness documentation reached 98.5% in February 2023, 89.3% in March 2023, and 96.7% in September 2023,



Figure 3: Non-pharmacological intervention for breathlessness was based on Evidence-Based Management Guidelines in 2020. Homi Bhabha Cancer Hospital & Research Centre (HBCH & RC)



Figure 4: Run chart of the audit cycle with data of breathlessness and non-pharmacological intervention. MDT: Multi disciplinary team, SOP: Standard operating procedure, EBM: Evidenced based medicine guideline. NPI: Non-pharmacological intervention.

while NPI documentation improved to 90.5%, 92.1% and 93.5%, respectively. These improvements and adherence to documentation can be attributed to the new SOP with codes and MDT involvement. Structured protocols and MDT approaches have been shown to improve breathlessness management in palliative care settings.^[12]

The Palliative Performance Score (PPS) data for patients observed in both phases show individuals falling within the 50–70% PPS range. The results showed that most patients' NPI codes aligned with their breathlessness

severity. Variations in the trajectory of the disease patient performance status might require personalised NPI and modifications from standard NPI codes.^[13] This data underscores the need for longitudinal assessment and tailored NPI interventions.

Despite improvements, challenges such as documentation variability, training gaps and communication issues persisted. Bausewein *et al.* emphasised the need for consistent training to improve documentation and management^[2] while Booth *et al.* highlighted the role of structured communication strategies.^[14]

Addressing these challenges through targeted interventions can further enhance documentation and management practices.

The audit highlights progress made in documentation practices due to the implemented strategies. While achieving a 100% documentation rate remains a goal, the improvements observed indicate a positive impact on both breathlessness and NPI documentation. Along with ongoing training, regular audits, and further research are needed to study patient related outcomes following the interventions.

CONCLUSION

This audit cycle demonstrates the significant impact of an MDT and standardised protocols on improving the documentation of breathlessness and NPI in palliative care. The findings emphasise the importance of ongoing training, structured communication and targeted interventions to address challenges in documentation practices. This could help in future research on patient related outcomes.

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to thank CIPLA Foundation, multidisciplinary team members, staff and all those who contributed to the improvement in documentation and patient care practices.

Ethical approval: The research/study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Homi Bhabha Cancer Hospital and Research Centre, approval number 12000038, dated 19th September 2022.

Declaration of patient consent: Patient consent was not required as there are no patients in this study.

Financial support and sponsorship: Nil.

Conflicts of interest: There are no conflicts of interest.

Use of artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted technology for manuscript preparation: The authors confirm that there was no use of artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted technology for assisting in the writing or editing of the manuscript and no images were manipulated using AI.

REFERENCES

1. Currow DC, Plummer JL, Crockett A, Abernethy AP. A Community Population Survey of Prevalence and Severity of Dyspnea in Adults. J Pain Symptom Manage 2009;38:533-45.

- Bausewein C, Booth S, Gysels M, Kuhnbach R, Haberland B, Higginson IJ. Understanding Breathlessness: Cross-Sectional Comparison of Symptom Burden and Palliative Care Needs in Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease and Cancer. J Palliat Med 2010;13:1109-18.
- EBM Guidelines; Current Concepts and Controversies in Palliative Medicine. Vol. 17. Part C. Hoboken: John Wiley and Sons; 2023.
- Ghoshal A, Damani A, Muckaden M, Singh P, Deodhar J, Mohanty S, *et al.* Prevalence of Dyspnoea and Usage of Opioids in Managing Dyspnoea in Advanced Cancer Patients: A Longitudinal Observational Multi-Centre Study from India. Ecancermedicalscience 2022;16:1482.
- Johnson MJ, Yorke J. The State of the Art in the Assessment and Management of Breathlessness. Breathe 2016;12:222-30.
- Graham JR, Clark C. Managing Breathlessness: A Palliative Care Approach. Nurs Stand 2017;31:42-9.
- Higginson IJ, Bausewein C. Rehabilitative Palliative Care: Improving Quality of Life for Patients with Incurable Disease. Indian J Palliative Care 2008;14:65-70.
- Hui D, Bohlke K, Bao T, Campbell TC, Coyne PJ, Currow DC, et al. Management of Dyspnea in Advanced Cancer: ASCO Guideline. J Clin Oncol 2019;37:137-52.
- Spathis A, Booth S, Moffat C, Hurst R, Ryan R, Chin C, et al. The Breathing, Thinking, Functioning Clinical Model: A Proposal to Facilitate Evidence-Based Breathlessness Management in Chronic Respiratory Disease. NPJ Prim Care Respir Med 2017;27:27
- Taberna M, Gil Moncayo F, Jané-Salas E, Antonio M, Arribas L, Vilajosana E, *et al.* The Multidisciplinary Team (MDT) Approach and Quality of Care. Front Oncol 2020;10:85.
- Elliott-Button HL, Johnson MJ, Nwulu U, Clark J. Identification and Assessment of Breathlessness in Clinical Practice: A Systematic Review and Narrative Synthesis. J Pain Symptom Manage 2020;59:724-33.e19.
- Bausewein C, Booth S, Gysels M, Higginson I. Non-Pharmacological Interventions for Breathlessness in Advanced Stages of Malignant and Non-Malignant Diseases. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008;16:CD005623.
- Hui D, Paiva BS, Paiva CE. Personalizing the Setting of Palliative Care Delivery for Patients with Advanced Cancer: "Care Anywhere, Anytime". Curr Treat Options Oncol 2023;24:1-11.
- Booth S, Silvester S, Todd C. Breathlessness in Cancer and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: Using a Qualitative Approach to Describe the Experience of Patients and Carers. Palliat Support Care 2009;7:153-60.

How to cite this article: Karim A, Viswanath V, Priyanshu, Ramani D, Fernandes D, Miriyala R, *et al.* An Audit Cycle to Evaluate the Improvement in Documentation of Breathlessness and Non-Pharmacological Interventions in Palliative Care Services. Indian J Palliat Care. doi: 10.25259/IJPC_344_2024